	Case 2:21-cv-02077-WBS-DMC Docume	ent 19 Filed 02/15/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CYMEYON V. HILL,	No. 2:21-CV-2077-WBS-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	GREEN,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brought this civil rights action pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. Final judgment was entered on January 5, 2022, and this action is closed.	
19	Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion, ECF No. 18, for reconsideration of the Court's	
20	January 5, 2022, order and final judgment.	
21	The Court may grant reconsideration under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 59(e)	
22	and 60. Generally, a motion for reconsideration of a final judgment is appropriately brought	
23	under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e). See Backlund v. Barnhart, 778 F.2d 1386, 1388 (9th	
24	Cir. 1985) (discussing reconsideration of summary judgment); see also Schroeder v. McDonald,	
25	55 F.3d 454, 458-59 (9th Cir. 1995). The motion must be filed no later than twenty-eight (28)	
26	days after entry of the judgment. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e). Under Rule 59(e), three grounds may	
27	justify reconsideration: (1) an intervening change in controlling law; (2) the availability of new	
28	evidence; or (3) the need to correct clear error or prevent manifest injustice. See Kern-Tulare	
		1
I	ı	I

## 

1	Water Dist. v. City of Bakersfield, 634 F. Supp. 656, 665 (E.D. Cal. 1986), rev'd in part on other	
2	grounds, 828 F.2d 514 (9th Cir. 1987), cert. denied, 486 U.S. 1015 (1988); see also 389 Orange	
3	Street Partners v. Arnold, 179 F.3d 656, 665 (9th Cir. 1999); accord School Dist. No. 1J v.	
4	ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993).	
5	Under Rule 60(a), the Court may grant reconsideration of final judgments and any	
6	order based on clerical mistakes. Relief under this rule can be granted on the Court's own	
7	motion and at any time. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a). Under Rule 60(b), the Court may grant	
8	reconsideration of a final judgment and any order based on: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise,	
9	or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence which, with reasonable diligence, could not	
10	have been discovered within ten days of entry of judgment; and (3) fraud, misrepresentation, or	
11	misconduct of an opposing party. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1)-(3). A motion for reconsideration	
12	on any of these grounds must be brought within one year of entry of judgment or the order being	
13	challenged. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(c)(1). Under Rule 60(b), the Court may also grant	
14	reconsideration if: (1) the judgment is void; (2) the judgement has been satisfied, released, or	
15	discharged, an earlier judgment has been reversed or vacated, or applying the judgment	
16	prospectively is no longer equitable; and (3) any other reason that justifies relief. <u>See</u> Fed. R.	
17	Civ. P. 60(b)(4)-(6). A motion for reconsideration on any of these grounds must be brought	
18	"within a reasonable time." Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(c)(1).	
19	Because plaintiff has not satisfied any of the conditions for reconsideration, IT IS	
20	HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration, ECF No. 18, is DENIED and	
21	this action remains closed.	
22	Dated: February 14, 2022	
23	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
24		
25		